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“IF HISTORY REPEATS ITSELF, AND THE UNEXPECTED ALWAYS HAPPENS, HOW INCAPABLE MUST MAN BE OF LEARNING FROM EXPERIENCE.”

GEORGE BERNARD SHAW

© Lifehack Quotes
Our MFRPS Journey

• 2007 MFRPS
  – Development Group (12 members: 3 states, FDA)
  – 5 Pilot States (MO, NC, NY, OR, and WI)

• 2009 & 2010 MFRPS

• 2013 MFRPS – Extension of 2010 MFRPS

• 2016 MFRPS
  – Development Groups (3 workgroups, 25 states, and FDA)
  – PFP and FDA FFC Review
  – 42 Enrollees
Lessons Learned – Positives
2014/15 Workgroups

- State involvement (25 states)
- 3 workgroups focused on specific standards
- Passionate and dedicated group members
- Willingness to take on additional recommendations and projects
- Manageable process
- Related issues
Lessons Learned – Challenges
2014/15 Workgroups

- Need for further refine process framework, e.g., defined roles, responsibility, timeframe, commitment, and process documentation
- Issues submitted as clusters
- Addressing new items not submitted as recommendations
- Not on committee – Felt uninformed
- Transparency of work/progress
- Accelerated Timeframe
Lessons Learned – 2015 Program Manager Town Hall Session

• Presentation of Issues to State Program Managers
  – Batch vs Individual Recommendations
• Voting Process
• Follow up on concerns
• Record of Final Recommendations
Recommend Establishing Oversight Group

Leadership team with representation from Alliance Board, State, FDA and Center

– Oversee progress and ensure review process stays on track
– May serve as active participants on the workgroups
– Provide input to workgroups to make final decisions
– Act as liaisons to the participant organizations (MFRPA and FDA)
Recommend Establishing Oversight Group

Leadership team (cont.)

– Develop and deliver communications about committee progress

– Provide guidance on:
  • Vetting proposed changes
  • Documenting proposed changes
  • Communicating recommendations through the leadership group and full MFRPA for review

– Provide recommendations on clearance/approval process
Issue Review Group(s) Considerations

• Group make-up: Representatives from stakeholders and experts (states, FDA, other)

• Defined roles and responsibilities
  – Chair/co-chair
  – Project manager

• Process documentation/control (database)
Continuing the Established Review System

MFRPA Workgroups
MFRPA Members & Added Membership
MFRPA Board
PFP Governing Council
FDA Internal Review Process
Projected 2019 FDA Timeline

• Apr, 2018 – PFP GC Receives MFRPA Recommendations
• Apr, 2018 – PFP Forwards MFRPA recommendations
• May – Aug, 2018, FDA (format/layout/cross check)
• Sep, 2018 – Submit to FDA Field Food Committee for Review
• Oct, 2018 – Incorporate final changes
• Dec, 2018 – Prep for OMB Submission
• Mar, 2019 – OMB 60-day submission
• Jun, 2019 – OMB 30-day submission
• Sep, 2019 – OMB approval and public release of MFRPS
Target Date for 2019 MFPRS

September 30th 2019
Where Do We Go From Here?
“Fair and simple rarely meet”

Adam Inman
The Main thing...

- Is to keep the main thing
- The main thing
Start at the End...

- Safe food
And Work Backwards

• How do the Standards help us protect the food supply?
Harmonize When You Can

• But lead the way
• “Gold standard” vs. “Baseline”
  – Tesla vs. Ford Focus
Continuous or Continual?

• **Continuous**
  – Without ceasing

• **Continual**
  – Ongoing, but with pauses
Standards Leadership Committee

• Further develop MFRPS change management process
• Assign issues to committees
• Assist with workloads and managing timelines
Committee Work

• Impact
Spread the Load

• Increased times for each step = a better outcome

• Think about your impact issues now
  – Focus on those issues
Questions